vss

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.214 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.24 OF 2006

The State of Maharashtra ... Appellant

V/s.

Popat Sitaram @ Mahadev
Ursal & Ors. ... Respondents

Mr.D.R. More, APP, for Appellant
None for Respondents

CORAM: **V.G. PALSHIKAR, Ag. C.J. &**
SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, J.

DATED: **AUGUST 29, 2006**

P.C.:

. No case for interference with the order of the acquittal is made out. Application for leave to Appeal is therefore, rejected.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.24 OF 2006

The State of Maharashtra ... Appellant

V/s.

Laxman Sitaram @ Mahadev Ursal ... Respondent

Mr.D.R. More, APP, for Appellant
None for Respondent

CORAM: **V.G. PALSHIKAR, Ag. C.J. & SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, J.**

DATED: **AUGUST 29, 2006**

P.C.:

. Insofar as this Appeal for enhancement is concerned, awarding of punishment is a matter of judicious discretion. On a perusal of the impugned order, we do not find that the discretion exercised by the learned Magistrate was in any manner injudicious. It does not call for any interference. Therefore, the appeal is dismissed.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.46 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.24 OF 2006

The State of Maharashtra ... Appellant

V/s.

Laxman Sitaram @ Mahadev Ursal ... Respondent

Mr.D.R. More, APP, for Appellant
None for Respondent

CORAM: **V.G. PALSHIKAR, Ag. C.J. &**
SMT.NISHITA MHATRE, J.

DATED: **AUGUST 29, 2006**

P.C.:

. Application for condonation of delay in filing Appeal allowed for reasons mentioned therein.